

ສາຫາລະນະລັດ ປະຊາທິປະໄຕ ປະຊາຊົນລາວ Lao People's Democratic Republic



ອົງການສະຫະປະຊາຊາດເພື່ອການພັດທະນາ United Nations Development Programme

Government of Lao People's Democratic Republic Water Resources and Environment Administration (WREA) Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Department (ESIA Dept)

United Nations Development Programme

PROJECT ID: 00072674 PROJECT TITLE: "POVERTY ENVIRONMENT INITIATIVE, OUTPUT 3"

SECOND QUARTERLY PROJECT REPORT (QPR)

Reporting period: April – June 2010

I. PROJECT INFORMATION AND RESOURCES

Project number and title:	00072674 "Poverty Environment Initiative (PEI, Output 3)"		
Implementing Partner:	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA)		
	Department of the Water Resources and Environment		
	Administration (WREA)		
Responsible Parties (if			
applicable):			
Donors:	UNEP - UNDP		

Project Starting date		Project completion date	
Originally planned	Actual	Originally planned	Current estimate
May 2009	December 2009	December 2011	December 2011

Period covered by this report:	3 months (April – June 2010)
Date of annual review:	
[Indicate if planned or actual]	

Total Budget	Original Budget (US\$)	Latest Signed Revision (US\$)
	1,495,363	300,000

Resources	Donor	Amount
	UNDP	300,000

II. PURPOSE

[To be completed in cooperation with UNDP Programme Analyst; Summarizes Main objectives of the project and link to MDG/NSEDP/UNDAF as per the approved UNDP Country Programme Document and Country Programme Action Plan and/or project document]

The project "Environmental and Social Impact Assessment: Reducing Negative Social and Environmental Impacts of Investment in Lao PDR" is one of the four outputs of the Poverty Environment Initiative (PEI) Framework in Lao PDR. Supported by UNDP/UNEP, PEI in Lao PDR aims to strengthen capacity of targeted central and provincial authorities to integrate poverty-environment concerns and opportunities in key development planning processes.

Corresponding to output 3 of the PEI Lao PDR, this project aims to support the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) Department of the Water Resource and Environment Administration (WREA) at the national and provincial level in order to address growing environmental threats and to prevent and minimize negative environmental and social impacts of the rapid development activities in key sectors, such as agriculture, hydropower, mining, industry and infrastructure.

The specific objective of the project is to enhance capacities of the ESIA Department of WREA to ensure comprehensive review and approval processes of environmental and social assessments based on the law and good science in effective coordination with the concerned line ministries and state enterprises.

UNDAF Outcomes/Indicators: UNDAF Outcome 1: By 2011, the livelihoods of poor, vulnerable and food insecure populations are enhanced through sustainable development (within DMG framework).

Expected Outcomes/Indicators: Outcome 1.1: Improved and equitable access to land, markets and social and economic services, environmentally utilization of natural resources, with balance population growth.

Expected Outputs/Indicators: Output 1.1: Improved and diversified incomes of rural household, with a focus on increased market accessibility, through implementation of human development and infrastructure initiatives. Outcome 1.1.3: Enhance knowledge and management capacity of ecosystems, biodiversity, natural resources and environment, and population dynamics.

III. PROJECT PERFORMANCE AND RESULTS FOR 1st QUARTER 2010

1. Contribution to the strategic goals [To be completed in cooperation with UNDP Programme Analyst]

Outcome 3:

Capacities of the ESIA Department of WREA to ensure comprehensive review and approval processes of environmental and social assessments based on the law and good science in effective coordination with the concerned line ministries and state enterprises increased. *[Indicate if included in SRF]*

Progress towards achieving outcome [A brief analysis of the status of the situation and any observed change, any project contribution.]

National financial expert was recruited and on board on 1 April 2010. A considerable progress has been made for financial and accounting management for example workshops and training in this field have been successfully organized. For the draft technical guidelines there were some delays of the work of international consultants in revising the drafts to comply with the comments made by ESIA Department team. The plan for their second mission to Vientiane to finalize the drafts at the end of April was cancelled and rescheduled. A teleconference with one of the international consultants was organized to discuss details on the revision of the draft reviewing and monitoring guidelines. The second visit of this consultant will be done in the first month of Quarter 3. Importance to this mission, the consultant will finalize the guideline through consultation with various concerned line ministries. A technical training will also be delivered to concerned staffs in using and implementing the two guidelines. A similar arrangement for another international consultant who developed the draft EIA guideline will be done in Quarter 3.

Quarterly outputs and indicators [According to project document and/or quarterly work plan]	Key activities completed during reporting period	Expenditures [Actual expenditures against activities completed]	Progress towards achieving outputs and targets achieved against indicators	Reasons if progress below target and response strategies [If applicable, explore underlying factors and reasons for gaps in output and target]
Output 3.1	- Planned workshops on	- The total cost for	- National financial expert	- The project accountant
A system for obtaining	the draft Financial and	this output is 6,603	was recruited and on board	resigned from her job in May
funds to substantially	Accounting Management	USD.	on 1 st April 2010.	2010. This position has not yet
cover the cost of operating	Manual, and Procurement		- A number of consultation	been refilled. The recruitment
the ESIA Department	and Inventories/Fixed		workshops on the draft	process has been done. Two

developed and	Assets Management	manual have been	potential candidates have been
implementing. The system	Policies at ESIA and	implemented at ESIA (13-	selected and contacted for the
works and is audited in	WREA levels had been	14 May 2010) and WREA	position but both candidates
accordance with	implemented.	levels (22-24 June 2010).	rejected the offer because their
international standards for	impremented.	The objectives of the	requested salary is much higher
financial management	- Planned training on	consultation workshops	than the amount that PEI
interior munugement	general accounting and	were to discuss/consult	project can offer. At the
Indicators:	budget accounting, project	with DESIA, WREA staffs,	moment, negotiation process
- Funding mechanism	accounting and cost	and Ministry of Finance on	with the third rank candidate is
developed and agreed	accounting has not been	the draft manual for further	on-going. Absence of project
upon by Government	implemented during this	revision. According to the	accountant caused the delay of
	quarter. Instead, training on	last consultation workshop	the quarterly financial report
- Funding mechanism	Internal Auditing and	at WREA level it was	and work plan.
fully operational	Consultation for Self-	requested that a	r r r r
J J I I I I I I	assessment Procedure	consultation workshop at	
	guideline for ESIA	director general level shall	
	Department was	be conducted. This is due	
	conducted.	to some contents of the	
		draft manual must be	
		consulted with decision	
		makers in order to ensure	
		that the guideline complies	
		with regulation/law and	
		government policy for	
		successfully	
		implementation of the	
		financial guideline. It	
		means that a contract	
		extension for national	
		financial expert is needed.	

Output 3.2				
Technical guidelines and procedures that support the ESIA Department, concerned ministries, state enterprises and developers to conform to best environmental and social assessment and mitigation practices developed and/or updated.	 The initial and additional comments on the three draft guidelines for EIA, reviewing, and monitoring were sent to the international consultants for revision. Internal consultation workshop among staffs of 	- No expenditure because the consultation workshops have not been conducted.	 The comments on the three draft guidelines were sent to international experts for revision consideration. The revision of the draft EIA guideline has not yet been submitted. A follow-up of this work will be done. The ESIA 	- Regarding to the second quarterly workplan, a consultation workshop on the three revised draft guidelines will be conducted in the same time. However, due to one international consultant didn't respond to the comments, therefore, a consultation on the revised draft guidelines for
Indicators:	ESIA Department on the		Department expects to receive the revised draft in	reviewing and monitoring will
- Three technical	revised draft guidelines has not been implemented as		August 2010.	be implemented ahead.
guidelines drafted,	planned because there were			- The comments were sent to
including guidelines for EIA, for reviewing, and	some delays of the work of international consultants in		- The revision of the draft reviewing and monitoring	the international experts and only one expert who develop
for monitoring.	revising the draft		guidelines was submitted	the draft reviewing and
- Number of consultation	guidelines.		by international consultant	monitoring guidelines
workshops realized			at the end of this quarter.	responded to the comments.
- Number of ESIAs	- Consultation workshop		Therefore, consultation on	Some clarification of the
reviewed	with line ministries on the		these revised draft	comments were done via several emails as well as a
	revised draft guidelines has not been conducted during		guidelines with concerned line ministries will be	teleconference to
	the reporting periods		shifted to implement in Q3.	discuss/clarify on the
	because there were some		sinted to implement in Q3.	comments, possibility of
	delays of the work of			revisions, and work plan which
	international consultants in			caused the delay in submitting
	revising the draft			the revised draft guidelines.

	guidelines.			The consultation workshop on
	guidennes.			the revised draft guidelines will
				be organized in the Q3 to
				obtain more comments for
				updating and finalizing the
				draft guidelines.
				arari guraerines.
				- Another international expert
				who developed the draft EIA
				guideline has not yet responded
				in regard to the revision of the
				draft guideline. Follow-up on
				the works of international
				consultant on revision of the
				draft EIA guideline and work
				plan will be done by ESIA
				team with the assistance of
Output 2.2				UNDP.
Output 3.3				
Through the	- The development of the	- There was no	- Since the training	- Training and awareness
implementation of the	technical training and	expenditure for this	material on EIA guideline	raising materials on EIA
capacity building	awareness raising materials	output because there	has not yet been	guideline have to be developed
program, the personnel of	on EIA guideline has not	was no any technical	developed. A follow-up of	
the ESIA Department is	yet been developed by the	training has been	this work will be done by	Currently, the training and
capable of carrying out	international expert (Jean	implemented during	ESIA team with the	awareness raising materials
their tasks and functions in	Foerster).	this reporting period.	assistance of UNDP staff.	have not yet been developed.
accordance with the			The ESIA Department	
expected future mandate	- Training materials on		expects to receive the draft	
of the ESIA Department.	reviewing EIA report and		material in August 2010.	done with assistance from

	monitoring EMMP of		UNDP.
Indicators:	project were drafted in	- Training on the technical	
- Number of training in	power-point format by the	guidelines for reviewing	- Awareness raising material
financial management	international expert	EIA report and monitoring	on the reviewing and
- At least three intensive	(Louise Grenier) and	EMMP will be conducted	monitoring guidelines has not
technical training courses	submitted to ESIA at the	in the next quarter.	been developed due to limited
and two intensive			time and budget.
management training	- Awareness raising		
courses, realized per year.	materials have not been		- On-the-job training has not
	developed.		been implemented due to
			delaying in submission of the
	- On-the-job training has		revised draft guidelines as well
	not yet been implemented.		as budget limitation.

2. Update on implementation of the Vientiane Declaration and its Action Plan [Brief update on any achievement and/or problem related to the implementation of the Vientiane Declaration. It should also include issues related to the introduction of HACT and actions planned for the following period.]

N/A

3. Update on partnerships [Brief update on any achievement and/or problem in developing partnerships and inter-agency collaboration. It should also include strategies for south-south cooperation]

- Staffs of ESIA Department and PEI project participated in Audit Debriefing organized by NIM project support management team at Lao Plaza Hotel, Vientiane on 17 June 2010.

- Collaboration between ESIA Department (PEI-Output3) and the Investment Promotion Department (IPD, PEI-Output 2) has been continued through participation in IPD/PEI workshop on Developing Tools to Support Efficient Management of Investment, 15-17 June 2010. For example, as invited by the Investment Promotion Department, a representative from ESIA Department had participated in the workshop and presented on Role of IEE and EIA in investment approval and screening process.

- Collaboration between PEI-ESIA and PEI-Thailand teams on experience sharing related to environmental issues, concept and implementation of these issues, sharing PEI experience in ASEAN forum will be taken.

- Two representatives from EISA Department and one project staff were invited to participate in "Poverty Environment Initiative: Regional Lesson Learning workshop on Changing public and private investment for pro-poor environment outcomes, 23-25 June 2010.

- Furthermore, collaboration between PEI team (national consultant from output 1, 2, 3, and 4) meeting had also been conducted during this periods. Several internal meetings and meetings with PEI-UNDP were organized to ensure smooth implementation of the project. Monthly meeting between ESIA-PEI and UNDP-PEI teams has been done and will further continue.

4. Update on gender mainstreaming [Brief update on any achievement and/or problem in terms of mainstreaming gender within the project activities. Strategy for the following period]

N/A

5. Update on audit recommendations [Brief update on progress achieved and problems encountered. Actions planned for the following period]

There was no audit and spot check during this reporting period.

6. List main challenges and issues (if any) faced during reporting period [as well

as response strategies adopted]

- After the project accountant resigned from her job in May 2010 this position has not yet been refilled. The recruitment process has been done. Two potential candidates have been selected and contacted for the position but both candidates rejected the offer because their requested salary is much higher than the amount that PEI project can offer. At the moment, negotiation process with the third rank candidate is on-going. Absence of project accountant caused the delay of activity implementation and the delay of the quarterly financial report and work plan.

- Delay in the submission of the revised draft reviewing and monitoring guidelines caused the delay of activities implementation. Therefore, internal consultation workshop and consultation workshop with line ministries on the revised draft guidelines were rescheduled to do in the Q3.

- The international consultant has complained to have short working time to complete her tasks if to follow the comments sent by ESIA team. Meanwhile she has requested to extend more time to complete the tasks. Due to limited time and budget the awareness raising material has not been developed and on-the-job training has not been implemented.

- Another international consultant has not responded yet regarding the revision of the draft EIA guideline.

- IT specifications were selected and sent to UNDP for further action. At the moment ESIA has not received this IT supply yet.

7. Rating on progress towards results

Output: [From table 1. Contribution to Strategic Goals]		
Output 3.1	\boxtimes	Positive change
		Negative change
		Unchanged
Output 3.2	\boxtimes	Positive change
		Negative change
		Unchanged
Output 3.3		Positive change
		Negative change
	\boxtimes	Unchanged

IV. ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO THE OUTCOME AND/OR OUTPUTS

Provide information about any activities undertaken by the project that were NOT envisaged in the work plan but which contributed to the outcome and/or outputs? E.g. advocacy and policy dialogue.

- Teleconference with the international consultant to discuss on the comments and work plan for the revision of the draft guidelines on reviewing and monitoring
- Internal discussion on the additional comments of the draft guidelines for reviewing ESIA report and monitoring EMMP of projects.
- Project monthly meeting between PEI-ESIA and PEI-UNDP.
- Discussion meeting with PEI-UNDP on activities budget allocation.
- Participate in NIM Audit Debriefing organized by NIM Project Support Management Team
- PEI Regional Lesson Learning workshop on changing public and private investment for pro-poor environment outcomes.
- IPD/PEI workshop on developing tools to support efficient management of investment.

V. FUTURE WORK PLAN

1. What are the priority actions planned for the following year to overcome constraints, build on achievements and partnership, and use of the lessons learned during the previous year?

- Follow-up progress with international consultant on revision the draft EIA guideline.
- Consultation workshop with line ministries on the revised draft reviewing and monitoring guidelines.
- Technical training on the reviewing and monitoring guidelines for ESIA Department.
- Technical training on the reviewing and monitoring guidelines for concerned line ministries.
- Consultation workshop on the draft financial and accounting management manual at director general level.
- Training on application of the financial and accounting manual for EMU districts (Khamkeuth, Hinboun, and Nakai districts).

2. List major adjustments in the strategies, targets or key outcomes and outputs planned.

N/A

3. Estimated total budget required for the following year:

47, 249 USD

VI. ANNEXES

- 1. Annex 1: Project Risk Log
- 2. Annex 2: Project Issues Log
- 3. Annex 3: Lessons learned log

PREPARED BY

Prepared by:

.

Arounna Vongsakhamphouy Assistant Project Manager PEI-Output 3

Approved by:

Ms. Phakkavanh Phissamay PEI-Output 3, National Project Manager

0 7 MAY 2010

Date: 5/5/2010

0 7 MAY 2010 Date:

Mr. Phouvong Louangxaysana PEI-Output 3, Alternate National Project Director

Annex 1 OFFLINE RISK LOG

(see <u>Deliverable Description</u> for the Risk Log regarding its purpose and use)

Project Title: Poverty Environment Initiative	Award ID: 00072674	Date: 30 June 2010
--	--------------------	--------------------

#	Description	Date Identified	Туре	Impact & Probability	Countermeasure s / Mngt response	Owner	Submitte d, updated by	Last Update	Status
	Enter a brief description of the risk (In Atlas, use the Description field. Note: This field cannot be modified after first data entry)	When was the risk first identified (In Atlas, select date. Note: date cannot be modified after initial entry)	Environmental Financial Operational Organizational Political Regulatory Strategic Other Subcategories for each risk type should be consulted to understand each risk type (see Deliverable Description for more information) (In Atlas, select from list)	Describe the potential effect on the project if this risk were to occur Enter probability on a scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high) P = Enter impact on a scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high) I = (in Atlas, use the Management Response box. Check "critical" if the impact and	What actions have been taken/will be taken to counter this risk (in Atlas, use the Management Response box. This field can be modified at any time. Create separate boxes as necessary using "+", for instance to record updates at different	Who has been appointed to keep an eye on this risk <i>(in Atlas, use the Managemen t Response box)</i>	Who submitted the risk (In Atlas, automaticall y recorded)	When was the status of the risk last checked (In Atlas, automaticall y recorded)	e.g. dead, reducing, increasing, no change (in Atlas, use the Management Response box)
1	Project accountant has not been recruited as planned	10 June 2010	Financial, operational	probability are high) Delay in submitting the Q2 financial report and Q3 workplan.	<i>times)</i> - Consultation and seek assistant from PEI-UNDP has been done. - Contact with the third-rank qualified	ESIA Department and UNDP	ESIA Department	25 June 2010	ongoing

				D 7	1.1 (1 1				
				P = 5	candidate has been				
				I = 5	done				
2	Planned consultation workshop on the revised guidelines that has not been achieved need to	May 2010	Financial Operational Organizational	Might be delay other planned activities in the next quarter	Speed up activity implementation	ESIA Department and UNDP	РМ	27 June 2010	ongoing
	shift to the next quarter plan. This may retard other activities.			P = 5 $I = 5$					
3	Teleconference for the two international consultants which planned to be done at the same time in May 2010 was not fully realized. Consultant for EIA guideline was not available during the teleconference because of his tight schedule.	May 2010	Operational Organizational	Since the three guidelines are linked and complementary to each other, absence of the consultant for EIA guideline in the teleconference meeting would lead to inconsistency of the three guidelines P=5 I=5	International consultants were recommended to review each other draft guideline in order to harmonize the three draft guidelines during the revision process.	ESIA Department and UNDP	ESIA Department	June 2010	
4	International consultant for EIA guideline has informed that rescheduling of his second mission to Vientiane to finalize the guideline is subject to his availability	May 2010	Operational Organizational	Unclear about the availability of international consultant for EIA guideline for his second mission will substantially delay the finalization process of the EIA guideline and therefore may retard other activities such as	Negotiate with international consultant to avail himself for the second mission as soon as possible.	ESIA Department and UNDP	ESIA Department	June 2010	

	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	because of his tight			technical training on EIA					
	schedule with other			guideline and awareness					
	consultancy			raising training.					
	assignments.								
5	Planned back-to-	June 2010	Organizational	Organizing two separate	It is recommended	ESIA	ESIA	June 2010	Ongoing
	back consultation		Financial	consultation workshops	that the consultants	Department	Department		0 0
	workshop for the			for the two international	interact each other by	and UNDP	and PM		
	two international			consultants would result	exchange the draft				
	consultants in the			in weak harmonization	guidelines for				
	next quarter will			and may cause some	reviewing to ensure				
	not be possible			inconsistencies of the	harmonization and				
	because the			guidelines as they are	consistency during				
	international			interrelated. Organizing	the revision process.				
	consultant for EIA			two separate consultation	Additional fund shall				
	guideline will not			workshops is also costly.	be urgently sought.				
	be available.			Additional fund may					
				therefore be required.					

Annex 2 OFFLINE ISSUES LOG

(see <u>Deliverable Description</u> for the Issues Log regarding its purpose and use)

Project Title: Poverty Environment Initiaitve	Award ID: 00072674	Date: 30 June 2010
---	--------------------	--------------------

#	Description	Date	Туре	Impact &	Countermeasures /	Owner	Submitte	Last	Status
#	Description		гуре			Owner			Status
		Identified		Priority	Mngt response		d,	Update	
							updated		
							by		
	Enter a brief	When was	Request for	Describe the potential effect	What actions have been	Who has	Who	When was	e.g. pending,
	description of the	the issue	Change	on the project	taken/will be taken to	been	submitted	the status of	solved
	issue	first	Problem		address this issue	appointed to	the issue	the issue last	
		identified	Other	Enter priority on a scale		address this		checked	
				from 1 (low) to 5 (high)		issue			
	(In Atlas, use the	(T) 1		Priority =		(h. 1.1			
	Description field.	(In Atlas,	(In Atlas, select			(in Atlas,			(in Atlas, use the
	Note: This field	select date.	from list)	(in Atlas, use the	(in Atlas, use the	use the	(I., 14]	(In Add	Management
	cannot be modified after	Note: date cannot be		Management Response box)	Management Response box)	Managemen	(In Atlas, automaticall	(In Atlas, automaticall	Response box. If solved, check the
	first data entry)	modified				t Response box)	y recorded)	y recorded)	"Solved" box)
	jirsi aala eniry)	after initial				001)	y recorded)	y recoraca)	Solved DOX)
		entrv)							
1	Project	10 June		Delay in submitting the Q2	- Consultation and seeking	ESIA	ESIA	25 June	Recruitment
	accountant has	2010	Problem	financial report and Q3	assistance from PEI-UNDP	Department	Department	2010	process is
	not been			workplan.	have been done.	and UNDP	-		ongoing
	recruited				- Contact with the third-				
				Difficulty in managing of	rank qualified candidate has				
				the project budget	been done				
				Duisvita - 5					
				Priority = 5					
2	The planned	May 2010		Delay in project activities	Shifting the workshop's	ESIA	ESIA	27 June	Pending
	internal and		Problem	implementation related to	schedule to be organized in	Department	Department	2010	C

	1			с. <u>1</u>		110000			1
	external			financial matter.	the next quarter	and UNDP			
	consultation								
	workshop on the								
	revised draft								
	guidelines have			Priority = 5					
	not been								
	organised								
3	International	May 2010	Problem	Unclear about the	Negotiate with international	ESIA	ESIA	June 2010	Ongoing
	consultant for			availability of international	consultant to avail himself	Department	Department		
	EIA guideline			consultant for EIA guideline	for the second mission as	and UNDP			
	has informed that			for his second mission will	soon as possible.				
	rescheduling of			substantially delay the					
	his second			finalization process of the					
	mission to			EIA guideline and therefore					
	Vientiane to			may retard other activities					
	finalize the			such as technical training on					
	guideline is			EIA guideline and					
	subject to his			awareness raising training.					
	availability								
	because of his			Priority $= 5$					
	tight schedule								
	with other								
	consulting								
	assignments								
4	Teleconference	May 2010		Since the three guidelines	International consultants	ESIA	ESIA	June 2010	Ongoing
	for the two			are linked and	were recommended to	Department	Department	5 une 2010	
	international			complementary to each	review each other draft	and UNDP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	consultants			other, absence of the	guideline in order to				
	which planned to			consultant for EIA guideline	harmonize the three draft				
	be done at the			in the teleconference	guidelines during the				
	same time in			meeting would lead to	revision process.				
	May 2010 was			inconsistency of the three					
	not fully			guidelines					
	realized.			Burnetines					
	Consultant for			Priority = 5					
	EIA guideline								
								L	

was not available				
during the				
teleconference				
because of his				
tight schedule.				

Annex 3 LESSONS LEARNED LOG

(see <u>Deliverable Description</u> for the Lessons Learned Log regarding its purpose and use)

Project Title: Poverty Environment Initiative	Award ID: 00072674	Date: 30 June 2010
---	--------------------	--------------------

#	Туре	Date Identifi ed	Successes	Shortcomings	Recommended Solutions	Submitted, updated by
	Project Management Project Results Human Factor Other		Describe what has worked well. What factors supported this success?	Describe the challenges or areas for improvement and what was unanticipated	How were challenges overcome and how should things have been done differently/better?	
1	Project Management Project Results	17 June 2010	 Initial and additional comments on the three draft guidelines were sent to the international consultants for further revision. The revised draft guidelines for reviewing EIA report and monitoring EMMP were sent to ESIA Department. Training material on the reviewing and monitoring guidelines was developed based on the revised draft guidelines. 	Follow-up progress of international consultant's work on revision of the draft EIA guideline have to be done.	Clear comments for each technical guideline shall be provided so that International experts understand the direction	ESIA Department
2	Project Management Project Results Human Factor	27 June 2010	The revised draft reviewing and monitoring guidelines have been adjusted based on the comments from ESIA Department team and the EIA decree.	International consultant has complained short-time available for the revision as the scope for revision was large.	Teleconference meeting has been organized to clarify the comments and the needed work that the international consultant shall perform to complete the consultancy assignment.	ESIA Department